Correspondence Editor and Author

Student's critical thinking ability from gender and learning style using Edmodo E-learning


Maimun a*, Department of Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Teacher Education, Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Mataram 83116, Indonesia

Bahtiar ^b, Department of Physics Education, Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Mataram 83116, Indonesia


*Corresponding author: maimunzubair@uinmataram.ac.id


SUBMISSION ARTICLE





REVIEW EDITOR





Please exclude the reference(s) which is/are not used in your paper

Ensure that all the in-text citations are included in the reference list (correspondence between in-text citations and your reference list).

If available, please add the DOI number of the cited references. If there is no DOI number, please provide the link to the URL.

a€¢The manuscript file must be in MS Word format only (not PDF) and should be formatted ready for submission in the journal "Full-Paper-Template-for- After Review-Processâ€, which can be downloaded from the link: https://un-pub.eu/ojs/index.php/wj-et/about/submissions.

- Please include all these main titles in your manuscript (Introduction, Method, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Recommendations).
- Please double check the title (not more than 12 words), author(s)〙 names and affiliations and authors' contact information, and references.

Most of the references you use in references should be up to date. If available, try to quote from articles published in 2018 and later.

Please fill in the complete information of the authors on the journal website. Authors', University, faculty, department, city, country, email, and orcid (www.orcid.org) must be filled out completely.

• During the editing process, we use iThenticate or Turnitin plagiarism software. So, it is recommended that the authors scan with iThenticate plagiarism or other free plagiarism software of their manuscripts and send us the similarity report (manuscripts with an above 10% rating will not be published).

Please make the necessary corrections within ten days.

REVIEWER-A

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The keywords accurately reflects the content.

reflects the content

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

appropriate

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

reflects the content

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

No clearly, need additional contextual problem

The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

there are no new findings from this research, there is no clear research gap and contribution

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

adequately

7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

Yes, but unclear because there is no research question and research objectives.

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

Yes, adequate

9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

Yes

10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

Yes, clearly

11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.

less adequately, results and discussion should be separated

12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.

No. There are no recommendations for this study, this is a drawback, only convey implications and limitations. Even then it is not clear because there are no section. Just go to the conclusion section

13. The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).

Yes

14. The research is systematic and consistent.

No

Appropriate and accurate language is used.

Your Additional Comments

This research is interesting; it just needs some improvement. I hope you are not disappointed with this suggestion.

- 1. You chose Edmodo over other LMS such as Google Classroom, Kelase, etc. Isn't Edmodo currently closed for Indonesian access?
- 2. You do not describe the problem; you should start with the student subject. What is going on over there? Continue to enter into the learning style then alternative LMS is used. You also need to explain the problem with the subject you are researching. Then came the gap research.
- In the background section, you must add a research question or objective. This is very important in the discussion of the next section, such as the Literature review, methods, results, and conclusions

.....

REVIEWER-B

Reviewer B: Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.

The keywords accurately reflect the content

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

The abstract accurately reflects the content.

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

The research problem is clearly defined.

5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

Add more to the theory of the relevant literature.

Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

Add the framework or model picture of this research.

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.


9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.


10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.


Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.


11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.



PROOFREADING ARTICLE







CERTIFICATE PROOFREADING EDITING



proofreading-editing.eu



CERTIFICATE OF ENGLISH EDITING

This document certifies that the paper listed below has been edited to ensure that the language is clear and free of errors. The logical presentation of ideas and the structure of the paper were also checked during the editing process. The intent of the author's message was not altered in any way during the editing process. The quality of the edit has been guaranteed, with the assumption that our suggested changes have been accepted and have not been further altered without the knowledge of our editors.

TITLE OF THE PAPER

"Student's Critical Thinking Ability from Gender and Learning Style using Edmodo E-Learning"

AUTHOR/S Maumin UIN Mataram

150

12 October 2022 No: 614

Contact

The Academic Event Group (TAEG)

Registration No: SLBT 375, Postal Address: P.O. 22912,1525 Nicosia, Cyprus.


Dispute: Subject to Nicosia (Cyprus) Jurisdiction only, in case of any dispute


www.proofreading-editing.eu E-mail: taeg.proofreading@gmail.com WhatsApp: +905428566055

KIRIMAN KE OJS HASIL REVISI DAN PROOFREADING



REVIEW DARI EDITOR





The final version of Article Maimun & Bahtiar	×
Participants <u>Edit</u> Prof. Dr. Servet Bayram, Editor-in-Chief (sbayram1) maimun Maimun (maimun)	
Messages	
Note	From
The final version of Article Maimun & Bahtiar	maimun
Proofreading Certificate	2022-10-22 07:20 AM
Article Processing Charge document	
ARTICLE WJET MAIMUN & BAHTIAR 2022.docx	
Certificate+of+English+Editing Maimun & Bahtiar.pdf	
Article Processing Charge document Maimun.docx 🗋 order_4131.pdf	
Add Message	