


CORRESPONDENCE JOURNAL

Nama : Mohamad Iwan Fitriani

Nama Jurnal : The Seybold Report

1. SUBMIT JURNAL



Pada tanggal 9 Januari, Artikel dikirim ke Seybold Report

Dated: 9 January, 2023

Dear the Editor of Seybold Journal

I have the pleasure of sending you the article entitled; “ **BUILDING MODERATE MUSLIM STUDENTS TO COUNTER RADICALISM IN ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY: CHARACTERISTIC AND THE ROLE OF EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP** ” authored by Mohamad Iwan Fitriani to be considered for publication in your prestigious journal. I State that this paper is containing original research and has not been submitted/published earlier in any journal and is not being considered for publication elsewhere.


Thank

Best regards


Mohamad Iwan Fitriani, Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram (Mataram State Islamic University) email: iwanfitriani@uinmataram.ac.id

2. Revisi Jurnal

Pada tanggal 18 April, Artikel diterima dengan minor revision sebagaimana dalam lampiran. Pada tanggal 17 Mei, Peneliti juga diminta untuk melengkapi biografi seingkat



3. Pada tanggal 18 Mei, peneliti diberikan LOA dari Editor in Chief sebagaimana berikut ini.



LOA dari SEYBOLD Journal



ISSN
1533-9211

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

18/05/2023

Author Name/s	Mohamad Iwan Fitriani
Paper Title	BUILDING MODERATE MUSLIM STUDENTS TO COUNTER RADICALISM IN ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY: CHARACTERISTIC AND THE ROLE OF EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP
Paper Status	ACCEPTED

Dear Author/s,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper, submitted for publishing in Seybold Report (ISSN 1533-9211) (TSRJ) has been accepted based on the recommendations from the Editorial Review Committee. TSRJ would like to request you to go through the Email to complete the necessary formalities for the smooth publication of the Research Paper.

Thank you for submitting your academic research work with Seybold Report. I believe that your collaboration with us will help to accelerate the global knowledge creation and sharing one step further. For any further Queries and support mail us to editor@seyboldreport.org

Looking forward to a good collaboration

Editor in Chief
Seybold Report
(A unit of Seybold Publications)



Seybold Publications: Box 644, 428 E. Baltimore Ave. Media, PA 19063
editor@seyboldreport.org
www.seyboldreport.org | www.seyboldreports.org | www.seyboldreport.com

LAMPIRAN: REVISI ARTIKEL

BUILDING MODERATE MUSLIM STUDENTS TO COUNTER RADICALISM IN ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY: CHARACTERISTIC AND THE ROLE OF EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP

Mohamad Iwan Fitriani
(iwanfitriani@uinmataram.ac.id)

Abstract

The development of religious moderation among university students is necessary to combat radicalism. Unfortunately, Indonesian universities still lack a clear understanding of what constitutes religious moderation. This study aims to answer two research questions: first, what are the characteristics of moderate Muslim students (MMS) developed at Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Mataram?; second, how are leaders' strategies to develop MMS at UIN Mataram?. Using a qualitative-descriptive approach, data were collected through in-depth interviews. The subjects of this study were the rector, vice-rector, deans, Vice-deans, Heads of departments, lecturers, and students. This study found that UIN Mataram developed six dominant characteristics of MMS, namely: respect for local culture, respect for different schools of thought (Madhab), respect for minority groups, nationalism, anti-violence, and tolerance. This study also suggests six other additional characteristics to realize the ideal MMS; second, the strategy used by UIN Mataram leaders to build MMS consists of: identifying moderation and anti-moderation values; clarifying and communicating moderation values to affirm shared values; translating moderation values into vision, missions, goals, and institutional policies; engaging others; and modeling religious moderation values such as leading by example, reciprocal values, proportional-conditional values, and moving from passive to active values.

Comment [a1]: Insert the conclusion of your article

Keywords; moderate students, religious moderation, countering radicalism, exemplary leadership.

INTRODUCTION

Religious moderation is a concept aimed at realizing harmony in the midst of Indonesia's multi-religiosity (Fuadi et al., 2021). Ideally, this multi-religiosity is a potential asset to help each other realize peace and harmony with a well-known slogan, namely "*Bhineka Tunggal Ika*" (Unity in Diversity) (Pedersen, 2016). In fact, many acts of intolerance in the name of religion were found in Indonesia's society, such as the persecution of religious leaders, the destruction of places of worship, suicide bombings, and various other acts of intolerance. It's no wonder that Colbran (2010) stated that although Indonesia has prided itself on being a country of tolerance, intolerance is still widely found in everyday life. The main cause of Indonesia's religious intolerance is the rise of radical Muslim groups (van Es, 2021)(Mietzner and Muhtadi, 2018; Sirry, 2020), which are characterized by dogmatic understanding that tends to intolerance and violence. That is why religious moderation is believed to cope with radicalism (Davids, 2017; Smeer and Rosyidah, 2021), especially in Indonesia.

One of the educational institutions that play a pivotal role in developing religious moderation is a university. As the highest level of educational institution, it is expected to give birth to agents of religious moderation (Amal, 2021; Narulita et al., 2022), but the facts show a contradiction where many university students are exposed to radicalism both in public universities (Anwar and Muhayati, 2021) and Islamic universities (Fitriani and Naamy, 2019). Even though radical groups have been officially banned by the Indonesian government, as an ideology, they still exist with difficult

movements to detect. Thus, radicalism remains a latent enemy that needs to be countered through religious moderation (Arifinsyah et al., 2020).

Previous studies on religious moderation can be classified into four categories. *First*, a study focuses on the conceptual meaning of moderation in the holy book. For example, moderation in Islam is a concept derived from the word *al-wasath* (Al-Baqarah: 143), which means a middle way or position between radicalism and liberalism (Fahri and Zainuri, 2019; Helmy et al., 2021). *Second*, Islam and Khatun (2016) conducted research comparing religious moderation from Islamic and Western perspectives. *Third*, a study explores the thoughts of certain Islamic figures and organizations regarding religious moderation (Pektas, 2021; Schmidt, 2021; Zarkasyi, 2019). *Fourth*, thematic studies on religious moderation are available, such as those on religious moderation in political context (van Es, 2021); religious moderation in the musical context (ter Laan, 2021); religious moderation in social media (Akmaliah, 2020; Hefni, 2020); and religious moderation in educational context (Anwar and Muhayati, 2021). Of all these thematic studies, religious moderation in the educational context is the dominant issue, especially in primary and secondary education.

Comment [a2]: Give more reasons why the topic is important to discuss or as theme in your study

To fill the gap, this study argues that the development of moderate Muslim students requires clear characteristics and effective higher education leadership strategies. This study was conducted at Mataram State Islamic University (UIN Mataram), an Islamic university located on Jalan Pendidikan, No. 35, Mataram City, West Nusa Tenggara Province, Eastern Indonesia. There are a number of reasons why UIN Mataram was selected. First, the development of religious moderation is a major priority at this university. Second, despite the fact that UIN Mataram is an Islamic university, non-Muslim students (such as Christians and Hindus) are welcome to enroll. Third, this university practices the idea of "accepting wisdom wherever it comes from," which promotes inclusivity and openness. Thus, religious moderation among students is not only taught conceptually but also practically through celebrating diversity. Furthermore, this study was guided by two research questions, namely:

1. What are the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at Islamic University?
2. How are leaders' strategies to develop moderate Muslim student at Islamic University?

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

There are at least four important things to discuss in order to understand and implement religious moderation: the concept, the values, the characteristics, and the actors who support the implementation of the concepts, values, and characteristics of religious moderation.

Concept and characteristic of religious moderation

Conceptually, there are two ways to understand religious moderation: (1) religious moderation is a middle position between radicalism and liberalism (Kemenag RI, 2021); (2) religious moderation is understood as a binary frame, namely, moderate vs. radical (Cherney and Murphy, 2016; van Es, 2021) This different definition has implications for the different characteristics of religious moderation. For example, the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia explains that religious moderation is indicated by four characteristics: national commitment, tolerance, nonviolence, and accommodating to local culture (Kemenag RI, 2021). Some Western scholars and

researchers include liberalism as part of religious moderation. For example, Rabasa et al. (2007) stated that moderate Muslims are characterized by: (1) Muslims who accept democracy and reject the Islamic State system; (2) Muslims who respect human rights, including freedom of worship, gender equality, and minority groups; (3) Muslims who accept differences and all forms of non-sectarian laws; and (4) Muslims who oppose all forms of violence. Besides, Ab Rashid (2020) describes moderate Muslim traits as the following: (1) liberalism and non-violence; (2) belief in secular, democratic, and pluralist methods; and (3) a spirit of tolerance.

Religious moderation and values based leadership theory

Furthermore, religious moderation refers to a set of values that support the above-mentioned characteristic, namely: (1) *tawassuth* (taking the middle path in understanding and practicing religious teachings), (2) *tawazun* (balancing behavior to avoid extremism), (3) *i'tidal* (act proportionally), (4) *tasamuh* (promoting tolerance), (5) *musawah* (promoting equality), (6) *syura* (common interest is everything), (7) *ishlah* (prioritizing reformative principles to achieve better conditions by accommodating changes), (9) *tathawur* (dynamic and innovative) (Kemenag RI, 2021). In an educational context, all of those values need leaders to transform them into educational institutions.

The role of leaders in incorporating moderation values or religious moderation characteristics into educational institutions is then critical. Value-based leadership (VBL) is a theory that addresses the importance of values in leadership (Kraemer, 2011). VBL can be defined as a leadership style that is founded on values (Della Corte et al., 2017). A leader believes in these principles and incorporates them into his or her leadership style (Fitriani, 2016). According to Copeland (2014), value is not found in only one type of leadership; thus, VBL embraces a variety of leadership models. The exemplary leadership theory developed by Kouzes and Posner (2009, 2011) was used as the analytical tool for this study. This model is made up of five fundamental practices: modeling the ways, inspiring shared visions, challenging the process, enabling others to act, and encouraging the heart (Kouzes and Posner, 2011). These fundamental practices necessitate adaptability in response to changing demands (Yukl and Mahsud, 2010).

METHOD

This study uses a qualitative-descriptive approach because the researcher describes the data found in the field. Then, all data are systematically narrated to link one fact or event with another. Descriptive means that this study describes and analyzes data naturally and objectively according to qualitative research procedures. Based on this approach, this study is expected to provide an overview of the characteristics of moderate Muslim students and university leadership strategies for realizing moderate Muslim students.

Data collection was carried out through in-depth interviews to obtain the participants' perspectives and experiences, where interviews are an appropriate data collection method to obtain data relating to the perspective (Creswell, 2007). The interview type was a semi-structured interview with 20 informants who were purposefully selected. This purposive sampling was chosen because the informants were not determined based on their quantity but on the quality of their information, knowledge, or experience. Therefore, the researcher chooses individuals who could provide insight, knowledge, or experience to answer two research foci, namely, the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at UIN Mataram and UIN Mataram's leaders' strategy to develop moderate Muslim students. For the first focus, the interviews were directed mainly to lecturers who are concerned with the religious moderation development at UIN Mataram, and for the second question, the interviews were directed to students, lecturers, and elements of leadership at UIN

Mataram such as the rector, vice rector, dean, vice dean, head and secretary of department. All interview results were transcribed for analysis, and the average interview time was 30 minutes. Interview results are presented with a combination of direct and indirect quotations.

Comment [a3]: After this paragraph, you should to mention the way to analyse the data

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings and discussions were based on data collected in the field and divided into two sub-themes: moderate Muslim student characteristics and UIN Mataram leaders' strategies for developing moderate Muslim students.

Characteristics of moderate Muslim students

UIN Mataram has developed six characteristics of moderate Muslim students, as explained below.

1. Moderate response to local culture

The first characteristic developed by UIN Mataram is a moderate reaction to local culture. This is relevant to previous studies asserting that respect for local culture is used to indicate religious moderation (Nasir and Rijal, 2021). It means that moderate Muslim students are characterized by their acceptance of Muslim groups that insert local culture into implementing Islamic teachings (Zarkasyi, 2019). For example, an informant from UIN Mataram stated that:

“Respect for local culture taught to students is a manifestation of Islam as a universal religion, where its universality can be actualized through responding to local cultures where Islam exists. So, religious moderation teaches students to avoid forcing Islam, as applied in an Arabic country or by the Prophet Muhammad fourteen centuries ago, to be implemented precisely in Indonesia” (Ridwan, lecturer, Interview, 23 August, 2021).

He also quoted a well-known expression of the first Indonesian president (Soekarno) as follows:

“If you become a Hindu, don't become an Indian, if you become a Muslim, don't be an Arabian, and if you become a Christian, don't become a Jew. Be an Indonesian Muslim with Indonesian culture” (Ridwan, lecturer, interview, August 23, 2021).

Respect for local culture is taught and aimed at eliminating the religious egoism that often arises when Islam is considered the most authentic indigenous religion to live in Indonesia. In fact, Islam is a foreign religion as well. An example of a direct quote regarding this point is as follows:

“Islam is not an indigenous religion in Indonesia; it came from the Arab world like other religions, such as Hinduism (from India) or Christianity (from Europe). So, there is no superiority between them” (Junaedi, Lecturer, Interview, July 23, 2021).

2. Moderate response to different school of thought (Madhab)

Religious moderation among students is also marked by students' internal tolerance towards different schools of thought. The following are some examples of interview quotes that indicate this characteristic.

“We also teach religious moderation through internal tolerance, namely students' tolerance toward other students with different schools of thought to avoid excessive fanaticism and non-moderate attitudes such as "my school of thought is the best and the other one is wrong" (Fathur, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

Specifically for different schools of thought, the best way to teach respect is through a culture of *mura'at* (full respect), which means that a student with a certain school of thought may follow other students' schools of thought to respect each other (Fathur, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2020). However, this *mura'at* culture can be actualized through correcting students' erroneous understandings in conjunction with *talfiq* (a prohibition to mix different schools of thought), which has long been thriving and flourishing among Muslim communities, including UIN Mataram students. For example, a senior lecturer stated:

“*Mura'at* culture is an Islamic teaching that has long been lost in Indonesia's society and needs to be revived to build students' moderate attitudes. This is caused by a mistake in understanding *talfiq*. The prohibited *talfiq* is a *talfiq* to seek the easiest side of various schools of thought or to escape from religious responsibility. Meanwhile, a *talfiq* taken to show respect for each other is not forbidden” (Miftah, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

The quote above shows that respect for different schools of thought is part of internal religious respect (Helmy et al., 2021) to balance internal with external tolerance among students. Since external tolerance (tolerance for other religions) is currently more prevalent in Indonesia than internal tolerance. This is caused by tolerance without liberalism or communal tolerance based on one's faith (Menchik, 2016). An exception to respecting this school of thought is the textual school (*mazhab zhahiri*), which tends to understand religion textually and is contrary to religious moderation.

3. Respect for internal minorities

In addition to respect for different schools of thought, moderate Muslim students are also characterized by respect for minority groups, especially Ahmadiyya and Shi'a, as shown by one of the following direct quotes:

“Muslim students are taught to demonstrate religious moderation towards minority groups (especially Ahmadiyya and Shi'a) since these two minority groups are prone to various acts of discrimination. The reason for this is that Ahmadiyya were considered blasphemers, and Shi'a were also considered a deviant group. These two groups are often asked to choose between leaving Islamic teachings by forming a new religion or returning to Islamic teachings by abandoning their beliefs. In this context, Muslim students are expected to be agents of change by showing respect for minority groups” (Andra, Lecturer, Interview, July 23, 2021).

There is a unique thing about the way UIN Mataram teaches students religious moderation toward minority groups. The majority of UIN Mataram lecturers stated that passive moderation was the best way to respond to these minority groups, as asserted by an informant as follows:

...”Care must be taken when educating students about a moderate attitude toward Shi'a and Ahmadiyya. Passive moderation, as opposed to active moderation, is what UIN Mataram instructs students to practice in this situation, which means that students are taught to respect minority groups' right to practice different religious or cultural practices without actively participating in those groups' daily lives” (Fuad, lecturer, Interview, 2 August, 2021).

The quote above shows that religious moderation taught by UIN Mataram lecturers to students is conditional and proportional moderation (especially for Ahmadiyya and Shi'a). Conditional and proportional moderation was chosen as a solution in the midst of the endless debate among Indonesian Muslims in general and UIN Mataram lecturers in particular regarding the position of Ahmadiyya and Shi'a in the eyes of the Muslim majority, whether both are part of Muslims (as internal minorities) or out of Muslims (as religious blasphemy). This endless debate is also found in

the dialogue between Zarkasy and Rabasa in a symposium held in Tokyo, Japan. In the symposium, Rabasa asked Zarkasy about the violence experienced by Ahmadiyya in Indonesia. Zarkasy replied that it was part of blasphemy, while Rabasa argued that it was not blasphemy but part of freedom of expression. The same thing is true of the Shi'a; they are often considered a deviant group because they are different from the Sunni group (the majority group in Indonesia). This shows that different indicators of religious moderation are triggers in determining whether an action is freedom of expression or religious blasphemy (AÇIKGENÇ et al., 2021).

Respect for minorities as part of moderate Muslim students' characteristics developed at UIN Mataram shows a similarity between the characteristics of religious moderation put forward by the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia (Zarkasyi, 2019) and the characteristics put forward by Western scholars and researchers (Cherney and Murphy, 2016; Rabasa et al., 2007). The difference is in the actualization. For example, in the context of Western scholars and researchers, respect for minorities was applied without exception, whereas in the context of the Ministry of Religious Affairs, including UIN Mataram, respect for minorities was applied in a conditional-proportional manner. The similarity of moderate Muslim characteristics among them is found in a number of ways, namely, anti-violence, opposition to terrorism, and promoting tolerance.

4. Respect for the external minority (non-Muslim Indonesians)

Respect for non-Muslims is the next moderate attitude to instill in moderate Muslim students. The word "non-Muslim" is used to replace the term "infidel" to avoid theological violence (Suprpto, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021). Based on interviews, there are no problems related to religious moderation between Muslim and non-Muslim students because they are used to living side by side in everyday life both inside and outside the UIN Mataram campus. For example, they study together because UIN Mataram also accepts non-Muslim students (Malik, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021), and they also live together as neighbors because Lombok is a miniature of religious pluralism in Indonesia. This reinforces what Menchik (2016) stated: there are no problems with interreligious tolerance in Indonesia because the problem that often arises is intra-religious tolerance.

5. Nationalism, anti-violence, and tolerance

Moderateness in responding to non-Muslims above is closely related to national commitment, non-violence, and tolerance. This is caused by the reality of Indonesia's multi-religiosity and Indonesia's past history, which has been built by a number of religions. National commitment is used to indicate moderate students who prefer not to consider other forms of government, such as the caliphate system. Indonesia officially recognizes six religions, with Islam as the majority, but Indonesia is neither a religious nor an Islamic state. Indonesia is a democratic country based on Pancasila (the five pillars) and Undang-Undang Dasar 1945 (the 1945 Constitution) with the slogan Bhineka Tunggal Ika (unity in diversity) (Wahyudi, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

Although UIN Mataram has been successful in developing moderate Muslim students, there are a number of other characteristics that need to be added, such as: (1) moderation in responding to different student organizations because UIN Mataram students have various student organizations such as the Islamic Student Association (*Himpunan Mahasiswa Islam/HMI*), Indonesian Islamic Student Movement (*Pergerakan Mahasiswa Islam Indonesia/PMII*), and Indonesian Islamic Preaching Institute (*Lembaga Dakwah Islam Indonesia/LDMI*); (2) moderation in responding to different religious organizations since UIN Mataram students join different religious organizations, such as Nahdlatul Wathan (NW), Nahdhatul Ulama (NU), and Muhammadiyah; (3) moderation in responding to different political parties due to the different political choices among students; (4)

Moderation in responding to other countries, both Muslim and non-Muslim countries; and (5) Moderation in responding to gender and human rights, especially LGBT, because this issue is still considered taboo. All of these characteristics were based on moderation in order to avoid new radicalism in the name of moderation (Hamdi, lecture, interview, July 4, 2022). Therefore, an ideal portrait of the moderate Muslim student is shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Twelve ideal characteristics of moderate Muslim students

Characteristic	Description
Respect for local culture	Respect to other Muslim groups that integrate Islamic teaching with local culture
Respect for various <i>madhabs</i>	Respect for different <i>madhabs</i> such as Syafi'i, Maliki, Hanbali, and Hanafi
Respect for different student religious organizations	NU, NW, and Muhammadiyah are three different student religious organizations.
Respect for different student organizations	Respect is shown to the following student organizations on campus: PMII, HMI, and LDMI, as long as those organizations do not teach radicalism.
Respect for different political choices	Respect for different political choices followed by university students off campus
Respect to the internal minority	Respectfully to Ahmadiyya and Shi'a, conditionally and proportionally
Respect to external minorities	Hinduism, Catholic, Protestant, Buddhism and Confucius
National commitment and anti-violence	Accept <i>Pancasila</i> and UUD 1945, but oppose all radical ideologies.
Respect for Muslim and non-Muslim countries	Respect for all nations as a manifestation of global citizenship
Respect for Gender and Human Rights	Responding to the actual issue regarding gender and human rights moderately
Moderate in moderation	Performing religious moderation in a moderate way to avoid radicalism in the name of moderation
Tolerance	Acceptance of difference is the ultimate goal of religious moderation.

Based on the ideal moderate Muslim characteristics outlined above, the moderate Muslim characteristics declared by the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs must be reinterpreted or redesigned in light of several characteristics identified by Western scholars and researchers. When studying religious moderation at UIN Mataram, for example, the way to respond to sexual orientations such as lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) is not discussed. A student stated that talking about LGBT issues is still taboo on our campus (Febbi, Students, Interview, August 23, 2021). That is why the term "LGBT" should also be included in discussion relates to moderate Muslim to meet the ideal characteristic of moderate Muslim characteristic.

Leaders' strategies for developing moderate Muslim Students at UIN Mataram

This study synthesizes the strategies of UIN Mataram leaders in developing moderate Muslim students at UIN Mataram.

1. Identifying moderation and anti-moderation values

The first strategy implemented by UIN Mataram leaders was identifying the values of moderation. For a leader, identifying values aims to determine guiding principles in subsequent actions or decisions (Fitriani, 2017; Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Northouse, 2007). After identifying moderation values, UIN Mataram's leaders communicate all of those identified values to all campus community members (especially lecturers and students). This demonstrates that in order to determine moderation values, leaders must also demonstrate a moderate attitude by involving others. Involving other people aims to create "shared values" that can be actualized (Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Northouse, 2007). Based on the interviews, the essence of moderation values shared at UIN Mataram can be seen in Table II below.

Table II: Moderation values as shared values at UIN Mataram

Moderation Values	Definitions
<i>Tawazun</i> <i>Tawassuth</i>	<i>and</i> Balance (<i>tawazun</i>) and the middle position (<i>tawassuth</i>) indicate a middle position between radicalism and liberalism.
<i>Ta'aruf</i>	willingness to recognize diversity
<i>Tafahum</i>	Mutual understanding to promote positive thinking (<i>husnu al-dhanni</i>) and avoid negative thinking (<i>su'u al-dhanni</i>)
<i>Ta'amul wa al-taawun</i>	The desire to interact and help each other
<i>Tabayyun wa tala'um</i>	To confirm any news received to avoid regrets due to misunderstandings.
<i>Tashaduq</i>	Tashaduq means friendship (<i>al-shadaqah</i>) based on honesty (<i>al-shidqu</i>) or mutual trust.
<i>Mushabarah</i>	Patience
<i>Murabathah</i>	Strengthening each other to realize mutual benefit
<i>Tawashau bi al-haqq</i>	Reminding each other to demonstrate positive things
<i>Tanahi 'anil munkar</i>	Reminding each other to avoid negative things
<i>Taraadhin</i>	Accepting of difference as God's design
<i>La 'unf</i>	Anti-violence
<i>Musawah</i>	Avoiding discrimination against other groups or religions
<i>Tasamuh</i>	Belief in the mutual benefit of tolerance

It is important to note that identifying moderation values above is insufficient since it is also necessary to identify anti-moderation values. The first is identified to be applied, and the second is identified to be avoided (Masnun, Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021). When asked about anti-moderation values, the Rector of UIN Mataram stated that they include: (1) *tatharruf*, namely showing extreme attitudes; (2) *tasyaddud*, namely regarding one's own thoughts as an absolute thing; (3) *tadhaddun*, namely performing radical and fundamental attitudes by considering other groups as heretical; and (4) *takfir*, namely regarding everyone whose different religious beliefs are unbelief (Masnun, Rector, Interview, 3 July 2021). Another informant added that liberalism is part of anti-

moderation (Sarapudin, lecturer, interview, July 4, 2022). This last point shows the different characteristics of religious moderation between Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs and some Western scholars and researchers. This difference has an impact on the way people express religious freedom.

2. Translating values into vision, mission and goal

The first step above is followed up with visioning, where visioning is not only limited to describing the future since it consists of missions, goals, and objectives to achieve, including the strategies used and people involved to achieve them (Ford et al., 2021). Therefore, UIN Mataram vision always relates to mission, goals, and strategy (VMGS). Vision is an ideal future description to achieve (Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Kraemer, 2011). In this context, the leader's task is to determine a shared vision. That is why the UIN Mataram leaders included the religious moderation term in the UIN Mataram mission. This is in accordance with the statement of an informant from UIN Mataram as follows:

“Religious moderation (moderate vision) aims to avoid any form of extremism. The university's vision is followed up at the faculty level, and the faculty's vision is continued at the department level. So, UIN Mataram makes religious moderation its main mission according to the directions of Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs“ (Masnun, Rector, Interview, July 2, 2021).

The vision is further elaborated in UIN Mataram's mission through the provision of inclusive education. For example, UIN Mataram does not only accept Muslim students but also non-Muslims. It's been proven by many Hindu and Christian students at UIN Mataram. A Hindu student, I Ketut Mayoni, expressed her pride because she was treated well by the majority of Muslim students in her daily life at UIN Mataram (UIN Mataram, 2022). UIN Mataram's mission is then broken down into three common goals, namely: being a good Muslim internally (intra-religious tolerance); being a good Muslim in a national context (national commitment); and being a good global citizen (international commitment). This goal is quite ideal; according to Kouzes & Posner (2011), leaders are dreamers and idealists. To realize the vision, mission, and goals, any leader cannot be alone; therefore, the leader's job is to turn the institutional vision, mission, and goals into a shared vision, mission, and goals (Fitriani, 2017).

3. Deciding program or supporting policy

The vision, mission, and goals above are followed by leaders' policies in institutional programs. This means that to develop moderate Muslim students at UIN Mataram, leaders must make new policies, especially those related to input (students), processes, and objectives. For example, in terms of input, all Indonesian citizens may become students at UIN Mataram regardless of their religious background. The Rector of UIN Mataram said that initially the policy was rejected by some lecturers with the question, "Why are Hindus and Christians allowed to enter Islamic campuses like UIN Mataram? Don't Hindus have high schools of Hinduism and Christians have schools of theology? The Rector answered simply; even though UIN Mataram is an Islamic campus, this campus is open to all religions or even open to all citizens from all over the world (Masnun, Rector, Interview, 3 July 2021). This is in accordance with UIN Mataram's mission to create a world-class university in the future (Adi Fadli, Vice Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021).

Furthermore, the institutional programs that support student religious moderation at UIN Mataram are: (1) the House of Moderation, which serves as a center for developing religious moderation for students; (2) community services (*bakti sosial*) based on religious moderation for UIN Mataram students in 2022, such as community service through cleaning temples, churches, and monasteries in

Mataram City; (3) UIN Mataram students are also actively involved in protecting *Nyepi* (a Hindu holy day), as Hindus are frequently involved in *takbiran* (parades to welcome the Muslim holy day after fasting Ramadan); and (4) Muslim students often collaborate with Christians to distribute *ta'jil* (fast food for breaking the fast) for Muslims during the month of Ramadhan.

According to the Vice Rector of UIN Mataram, one of the best ways to build religious moderation among students is social action, and the best manifestation of social action is celebrating diversity with other different groups or religions (Zaki, Vice Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021). Based on observations, this statement is pertinent to the Annual Conference on Islamic Studies (AICIS) at UIN Mataram on October 21–22, 2022, to which all non-Muslim students were invited by the UIN Mataram leader, and they took part in the AICIS hosted by UIN Mataram (Saparudin, Vice Dean, Interview, October 3, 2021).

4. Collaborating with other

All UIN Mataram leaders realize that developing students' religious moderation requires serious cooperation with other elements. Based on observations and documentation, there are a number of elements involved, namely: *first*, involving the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs by inviting them to provide insight into and practice religious moderation among university students and lecturers (Alki, 2022). Lecturers are involved because they have direct contact with students; second, the National Agency for Combating Terrorism (NACT) is involved to instill a sense of nationalism and avoid radicalism; third, the leaders of Islamic boarding schools are involved because the majority of UIN Mataram's students are alumni of Islamic boarding schools; fourth, non-Muslim figures (especially Hindus, Buddhists, and Christians) are involved to discuss ways of building interreligious tolerance; and *fifth*, involving the House of Representatives Council of the Republic of Indonesia (DPR RI, 2021).

5. Modeling religious moderation values

Exemplifying moderation values in leadership is another important strategy for developing moderate Muslim students. In this context, there are four unique ways used by UIN Mataram leaders, namely:

First, leading by example indicates that leaders must set a good example for others by practicing moderate values first. value is an invisible thing that needs to be actualized in real behaviour (Banks and Banks, 2010). Transforming value into real action is part of "setting the example" or "leading by example" (Kouzes and Posner, 2009). Leading by example is an effective way to promote religious moderation among university students because a leader is a source of reference or a symbol of self-identification for all members (Fitriani, 2016). In this case, the Rector of UIN Mataram stated that "*ibda' binafsika*" (starting from yourself) is an effective way to form moderate Muslim students (Jumarim, Dean, Interview, June 2, 2021).

Second, leading by proportional values which means that moderation also requires proportional consideration because tolerance is not recommended in all matters, especially those related to other religious rituals. (Fadli, Vice Rector, Interview, July 2, 2021).

Comment [a4]: Add more your explanation

Then, when a leader uses religious moderation values as the basis of his or her leadership, he or she refers to moderation values-based educational leadership. Leaders need to identify moderate values and translate them into their leadership. However, a lack of strategies implemented by UIN Mataram leaders prevents them from monitoring and evaluating religious moderation periodically. This study discovered effective strategies for developing moderate Muslim students.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at UIN Mataram refer to the characteristics of religious moderation declared by the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia, which posits religious moderation as a middle position between radicalism and liberalism. To develop ideal moderate Muslim students, some liberalism values must be used selectively and proportionally to indicate religious moderation, including moderate Muslim students.

Comment [a5]: Your conclusion should base data appeared before. You have to add for conclusion to support the novelty of your finding

REFERENCES

1. Ab Rashid R, Fazal SA, Ab. Halim Z, et al. (2020) Conceptualizing the characteristics of moderate Muslims: a systematic review. *Social Identities* 26(6). Routledge: 829–841. DOI: 10.1080/13504630.2020.1814720.
2. AÇIKGENÇ A, Daud WMNW, Suharto U, et al. (2021) *Hamid Fahmy Zarkasyi: Di Mata Guru, Sahabat, dan Murid*. Unida Gontor Press.
3. Akmaliah W (2020) The demise of moderate Islam: new media, contestation, and reclaiming religious authorities. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 10(1). 1: 1–24. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v10i1.1-24.
4. Alki M (2022) FTK UIN Mataram Hadirkan Ahli Moderasi Beragama UIN Sunan Ampel, Rektor: Kita Harus Hadang Faham Radikalisme - Warta Lombok. Available at: <https://wartalombok.pikiran-rakyat.com/pendidikan/pr-1073799952/ftk-uin-mataram-hadirkan-ahli-moderasi-beragama-uin-sunan-ampel-rektor-kita-harus-hadang-faham-radikalisme> (accessed 14 August 2022).
5. Amal MK (2021) The Role of Islamic Religious Higher Education in the Revitalization of Religious Moderation in Indonesia. *Dialogia: Islamic Studies and Social Journal* 19(2). 2: 293–325. DOI: 10.21154/dialogia.v19i2.3327.
6. Anwar RN and Muhayati S (2021) UPAYA MEMBANGUN SIKAP MODERASI BERAGAMA MELALUI PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM PADA MAHASISWA PERGURUAN TINGGI UMUM. *Al-Tadzkiyyah: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam* 12(1). 1: 1–15. DOI: 10.24042/atjpi.v12i1.7717.
7. Arifinsyah A, Andy S and Damanik A (2020) The Urgency of Religious Moderation in Preventing Radicalism in Indonesia. *ESENSIA: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Ushuluddin* 21(1). 1: 91–108. DOI: 10.14421/esensia.v21i1.2199.
8. Banks JA and Banks CAM (2010) *Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
9. Cherney A and Murphy K (2016) What does it mean to be a moderate Muslim in the war on terror? Muslim interpretations and reactions. *Critical Studies on Terrorism* 9(2). Routledge: 159–181. DOI: 10.1080/17539153.2015.1120105.

10. Colbran N (2010) Realities and challenges in realising freedom of religion or belief in Indonesia. *The International Journal of Human Rights* 14(5). Routledge: 678–704. DOI: 10.1080/13642980903155166.
11. Copeland MK (2014) The Emerging Significance of Values Based Leadership: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Leadership Studies* 8(2): 105–135. Available at: https://fisherpub.sjfc.edu/business_facpub/5.
12. Creswell JW (2007) *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. SAGE.
13. Davids N (2017) Islam, Moderation, Radicalism, and Justly Balanced Communities. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 37(3). Routledge: 309–320. DOI: 10.1080/13602004.2017.1384672.
14. Della Corte V, Del Gaudio G, Sepe F, et al. (2017) The Role and Implications of Values-based Leadership. *Journal of Organisational Transformation & Social Change* 14(3). Routledge: 187–213. DOI: 10.1080/14779633.2017.1378453.
15. DPR RI SD (2021) Yandri Susanto Harap UIN Mataram jadi Garda Terdepan Moderasi Beragama. Available at: <http://www.dpr.go.id/berita/detail/id/36572> (accessed 14 August 2022).
16. Fahri M and Zainuri A (2019) Moderasi Beragama di Indonesia. *Intizar* 25(2). 2: 95–100. DOI: 10.19109/intizar.v25i2.5640.
17. Fitriani MI (2016) Kepemimpinan Kharismatis-Transformatif Tuan Guru Dalam Perubahan Sosial Masyarakat Sasak-Lombok Melalui Pendidikan. *Al-Tahrir: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 16(1). 1: 175–195. DOI: 10.21154/al-tahrir.v16i1.332.
18. Fitriani MI (2017) Systemic and Systematic Steps of Leadership on Transforming Symbolic into Substantive Characteristic of Madrasah. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam* 3(1). 1: 1–16. DOI: 10.15575/jpi.v3i1.1203.
19. Fitriani MI and Naamy N (2019) *Moderasi Beragama Dalam Perspektif NU, NW Dan Salafi*. Lombok Press.
20. Ford J, Ford L and Polin B (2021) Leadership in the Implementation of Change: Functions, Sources, and Requisite Variety. *Journal of Change Management* 21(1). Routledge: 87–119. DOI: 10.1080/14697017.2021.1861697.
21. Fuadi MA, Hasyim F, Kholis MN, et al. (2021) Strengthening Religious Moderation to Counter Radicalism at IAIN Surakarta. *Al-Tahrir: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 21(2). 2: 261–284. DOI: 10.21154/altahrir.v21i2.3102.
22. Hefni W (2020) Moderasi Beragama dalam Ruang Digital: Studi Pengarusutamaan Moderasi Beragama di Perguruan Tinggi Keagamaan Islam Negeri. *Jurnal Bimas Islam* 13(1). 1: 1–22. DOI: 10.37302/jbi.v13i1.182.
23. Helmy MI, Kubro ADJ and Ali M (2021) The understanding of Islamic Moderation (wasatiyyah al-Islam) and the hadiths on inter-religious relations in the Javanese pesantrens.

- Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11(2). 2: 377–401. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.351-376.
24. Islam T and Khatun A (2016) “Islamic Moderation” in Perspectives: A Comparison Between Oriental And Occidental Scholarships. *International Journal of Nusantara Islam* 3: 69. DOI: 10.15575/ijni.v3i2.488.
 25. Jamali A, Bhutto A, Khaskhely M, et al. (2022) Impact of leadership styles on faculty performance: Moderating role of organizational culture in higher education. *Management Science Letters* 12(1): 1–20. Available at: <http://m.growingscience.com/beta/msl/5067-impact-of-leadership-styles-on-faculty-performance-moderating-role-of-organizational-culture-in-higher-education.html> (accessed 6 August 2022).
 26. Kemenag RI KA (2021) Moderasi Beragama. *Pendis Press*. Available at: <https://pendispress.kemenag.go.id/index.php/ppress/catalog/view/3/1/9-1> (accessed 14 August 2022).
 27. Kouzes JM and Posner BZ (2009) The Five Practices of Exemplary Leadership. In: Billsberry J (ed.) *Discovering Leadership*. London: Macmillan Education UK, pp. 47–62. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-137-24203-7_5.
 28. Kouzes JM and Posner BZ (2011) *The Five Practices of Exemplary Leadership*. John Wiley & Sons.
 29. Kraemer HM (2011) From Values to Action: The Four Principles of Values-Based Leadership by Harry M. Kraemer - Leadership @ LeadershipNow.com. Available at: <https://www.leadershipnow.com/leadershop/9780470881255.html> (accessed 28 June 2022).
 30. Menchik J (2016) *Islam and Democracy in Indonesia: Tolerance without Liberalism*. Cambridge University Press.
 31. Mietzner M and Muhtadi B (2018) Explaining the 2016 Islamist Mobilisation in Indonesia: Religious Intolerance, Militant Groups and the Politics of Accommodation. *Asian Studies Review* 42(3). Routledge: 479–497. DOI: 10.1080/10357823.2018.1473335.
 32. Narulita S, Hyangsewu P and Diens A (2022) Moderate Muslim Characters in The Quran and Its Implementation in Islamic Religious Education Learning in Public Universities. *Jurnal Studi Al-Qur'an* 18(1). 1: 75–90. DOI: 10.21009/JSQ.018.1.04.
 33. Nasir M and Rijal MK (2021) Keeping the middle path: mainstreaming religious moderation through Islamic higher education institutions in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11(2). 2: 213–241. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.213-241.
 34. Northouse PG (2007) *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. SAGE.
 35. Pedersen L (2016) Religious Pluralism in Indonesia. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology* 17(5). Routledge: 387–398. DOI: 10.1080/14442213.2016.1218534.
 36. Pektas S (2021) A comparative analysis of three Sunni Muslim organizations on ‘moderate’ and ‘radical’ Islam in Egypt, Morocco and Indonesia. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 190–213. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2020.1868383.

37. Purwanto A and Asbari M (2020) Model Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Authentic, Authoritarian, Transformational, Transactional Berpengaruh Terhadap Kinerja : Studi Pada Kinerja Dosen Perguruan Tinggi di Jawa Tengah. *EduPsyCouns: Journal of Education, Psychology and Counseling* 2(1). 1: 227–245. Available at: <https://ummaspul.ejournal.id/Edupsycounts/article/view/441> (accessed 6 August 2022).
38. Putra AS, Waruwu H, Asbari M, et al. (2020) Leadership in the Innovation Era: Transactional or Transformational Style? *International Journal of Social and Management Studies* 1(1). 1: 89–94. DOI: 10.5555/ijosmas.v1i1.10.
39. Rabasa A, Benard C, Schwartz LH, et al. (2007) *Building Moderate Muslim Networks*. Rand Corporation.
40. Schmidt L (2021) Aesthetics of authority: ‘Islam Nusantara’ and Islamic ‘radicalism’ in Indonesian film and social media. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 237–258. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2020.1868387.
41. Sirry M (2020) Muslim Student Radicalism and Self-Deradicalization in Indonesia. *Islam and Christian–Muslim Relations* 31(2). Routledge: 241–260. DOI: 10.1080/09596410.2020.1770665.
42. Smeer ZB and Rosyidah I (2021) RELIGIOUS MODERATION IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION LEARNING TO COUNTER RADICALISM: Study at SMAN 1 Sugihwaras Bojonegoro. *ULUL ALBAB Jurnal Studi Islam* 22(1). 1: 176–202. DOI: 10.18860/ua.v22i1.11837.
43. ter Laan N (2021) Musical negotiations of a ‘moderate’ versus a ‘radical’ Islam in Morocco: dissonance and the sonic among vocal performers of Islam-inspired music. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 214–236. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2021.1865602.
44. van Es MA (2021) Norwegian Muslims denouncing terrorism: beyond ‘moderate’ versus ‘radical’? *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 169–189. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2021.1865600.
45. Yukl G and Mahsud R (2010) Why flexible and adaptive leadership is essential. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research* 62(2). US: Educational Publishing Foundation: 81–93. DOI: 10.1037/a0019835.
46. Zarkasyi HF (2019) Appraising the Moderation Indonesian Muslims with Special Reference to Muhammadiyah and Nahdlatul Ulama. *ADDIN* 12(1). 1: 1–30. DOI: 10.21043/addin.v12i1.4179.

JURNAL YANG DUDAH DIREVISI DAN DITERBITKAN DI SEYBOLD REPORT:

https://seiboldreport.org/article_overview?id=MDYvMDIzMTAxMjMvMzUzMzI3

BUILDING MODERATE MUSLIM STUDENTS TO COUNTER RADICALISM IN ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY: CHARACTERISTIC AND THE ROLE OF EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP

Mohamad Iwan Fitriani

(an associate professor at Mataram State Islamic University, Indonesia, with research interest in Islamic education, Islamic education management and leadership in organization, iwanfitriani@uinmataram.ac.id)

Abstract

The development of religious moderation among university students is necessary to combat radicalism. Unfortunately, Indonesian universities still lack a clear understanding of what constitutes religious moderation. This study aims to answer two research questions: first, what are the characteristics of moderate Muslim students (MMS) developed at Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Mataram?; second, how are leaders' strategies to develop MMS at UIN Mataram?. Using a qualitative-descriptive approach, data were collected through in-depth interviews. The subjects of this study were the rector, vice-rector, deans, Vice-deans, Heads of departments, lecturers, and students. This study found that UIN Mataram developed six dominant characteristics of MMS, namely: respect for local culture, respect for different schools of thought (Madhab), respect for minority groups, nationalism, anti-violence, and tolerance. This study also suggests six other additional characteristics to realize the ideal MMS; second, the strategy used by UIN Mataram leaders to build MMS consists of: identifying moderation and anti-moderation values; clarifying and communicating moderation values to affirm shared values; translating moderation values into vision, missions, goals, and institutional policies; engaging others; and modeling religious moderation values such as leading by example, reciprocal values, proportional-conditional values, and moving from passive to active values. This study concludes that MMS characteristics need to be redesigned by combining Western and Muslim perspectives selectively and proportionally to achieve an ideal MMS. Furthermore, developing MMS requires exemplary leadership based on religious moderation values.

Keywords; moderate students, religious moderation, countering radicalism, exemplary leadership.

INTRODUCTION

Religious moderation is a concept aimed at realizing harmony in the midst of Indonesia's multi-religiosity (Fuadi et al., 2021). Ideally, this multi-religiosity is a potential asset to help each other realize peace and harmony with a well-known slogan, namely "*Bhineka Tunggal Ika*" (Unity in Diversity) (Pedersen, 2016). In fact, many acts of intolerance in the name of religion were found in Indonesia's society, such as the persecution of religious leaders, the destruction of places of worship, suicide bombings, and various other acts of intolerance. It's no wonder that Colbran (2010) stated that although Indonesia has prided itself on being a country of tolerance, intolerance is still widely found in everyday life. The main cause of Indonesia's religious intolerance is the rise of radical Muslim groups (van Es, 2021)(Mietzner and Muhtadi, 2018; Sirry, 2020), which are characterized by dogmatic understanding that tends to intolerance and violence. That is why religious moderation is believed to cope with radicalism (Davids, 2017; Smeer and Rosyidah, 2021), especially in Indonesia.

One of the educational institutions that play a pivotal role in developing religious moderation is a university. As the highest level of educational institution, it is expected to give birth to agents of

religious moderation (Amal, 2021; Narulita et al., 2022), but the facts show a contradiction where many university students are exposed to radicalism both in public universities (Anwar and Muhayati, 2021) and Islamic universities (Fitriani and Naamy, 2019). Even though radical groups have been officially banned by the Indonesian government, as an ideology, they still exist with difficult movements to detect. Thus, radicalism remains a latent enemy that needs to be countered through religious moderation (Arifinsyah et al., 2020).

Previous studies on religious moderation can be classified into four categories. *First*, a study focuses on the conceptual meaning of moderation in the holy book. For example, moderation in Islam is a concept derived from the word *al-wasath* (Al-Baqarah: 143), which means a middle way or position between radicalism and liberalism (Fahri and Zainuri, 2019; Helmy et al., 2021). *Second*, Islam and Khatun (2016) conducted research comparing religious moderation from Islamic and Western perspectives. *Third*, a study explores the thoughts of certain Islamic figures and organizations regarding religious moderation (Pektas, 2021; Schmidt, 2021; Zarkasyi, 2019). *Fourth*, thematic studies on religious moderation are available, such as those on religious moderation in political context (van Es, 2021); religious moderation in the musical context (ter Laan, 2021); religious moderation in social media (Akmaliah, 2020; Hefni, 2020); and religious moderation in educational context (Anwar and Muhayati, 2021). Of all these thematic studies, religious moderation in the educational context is the dominant issue, especially in primary and secondary education.

Based on the four categories above, studies on the characteristics of moderate Muslim students and leaders' strategies for realizing moderate Muslim students are scant. In addition, previous studies on educational leadership in higher education (especially in Indonesia) were dominated by transformational-transactional leadership theories (Jamali et al., 2022; Putra et al., 2020) and instructional, authentic, or democratic leadership (Purwanto and Asbari, 2020). Meanwhile, exemplary leadership theory is still rarely used. This theory is closely related to values and ways for a leader to articulate values in an organization, including religious moderation values for developing moderate Muslim students.

To fill the gap, this study argues that the development of moderate Muslim students requires clear characteristics and effective higher education leadership strategies. This study was conducted at Mataram State Islamic University (UIN Mataram), an Islamic university located on Jalan Pendidikan, No. 35, Mataram City, West Nusa Tenggara Province, Eastern Indonesia. There are a number of reasons why UIN Mataram was selected. First, the development of religious moderation is a major priority at this university. Second, despite the fact that UIN Mataram is an Islamic university, non-Muslim students (such as Christians and Hindus) are welcome to enroll. Third, this university practices the idea of "accepting wisdom wherever it comes from," which promotes inclusivity and openness. Thus, religious moderation among students is not only taught conceptually but also practically through celebrating diversity. Furthermore, this study was guided by two research questions, namely:

3. What are the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at Islamic University?
4. How are leaders' strategies to develop moderate Muslim student at Islamic University?

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

There are at least four important things to discuss in order to understand and implement religious moderation: the concept, the values, the characteristics, and the actors who support the implementation of the concepts, values, and characteristics of religious moderation.

Concept and characteristic of religious moderation

Conceptually, there are two ways to understand religious moderation: (1) religious moderation is a middle position between radicalism and liberalism (Kemenag RI, 2021); (2) religious moderation is understood as a binary frame, namely, moderate vs. radical (Cherney and Murphy, 2016; van Es, 2021). This different definition has implications for the different characteristics of religious moderation. For example, the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia explains that religious moderation is indicated by four characteristics: national commitment, tolerance, nonviolence, and accommodating to local culture (Kemenag RI, 2021). Some Western scholars and researchers include liberalism as part of religious moderation. For example, Rabasa et al. (2007) stated that moderate Muslims are characterized by: (1) Muslims who accept democracy and reject the Islamic State system; (2) Muslims who respect human rights, including freedom of worship, gender equality, and minority groups; (3) Muslims who accept differences and all forms of non-sectarian laws; and (4) Muslims who oppose all forms of violence. Besides, Ab Rashid (2020) describes moderate Muslim traits as the following: (1) liberalism and non-violence; (2) belief in secular, democratic, and pluralist methods; and (3) a spirit of tolerance.

Religious moderation and values based leadership theory

Furthermore, religious moderation refers to a set of values that support the above-mentioned characteristic, namely: (1) *tawassuth* (taking the middle path in understanding and practicing religious teachings), (2) *tawazun* (balancing behavior to avoid extremism), (3) *i'tidal* (act proportionally), (4) *tasamuh* (promoting tolerance), (5) *musawah* (promoting equality), (6) *syura* (common interest is everything), (7) *ishlah* (prioritizing reformative principles to achieve better conditions by accommodating changes), (9) *tathawur* (dynamic and innovative) (Kemenag RI, 2021). In an educational context, all of those values need leaders to transform them into educational institutions.

The role of leaders in incorporating moderation values or religious moderation characteristics into educational institutions is then critical. Value-based leadership (VBL) is a theory that addresses the importance of values in leadership (Kraemer, 2011). VBL can be defined as a leadership style that is founded on values (Della Corte et al., 2017). A leader believes in these principles and incorporates them into his or her leadership style (Fitriani, 2016). According to Copeland (2014), value is not found in only one type of leadership; thus, VBL embraces a variety of leadership models. The exemplary leadership theory developed by Kouzes and Posner (2009, 2011) was used as the analytical tool for this study. This model is made up of five fundamental practices: modeling the ways, inspiring shared visions, challenging the process, enabling others to act, and encouraging the heart (Kouzes and Posner, 2011). These fundamental practices necessitate adaptability in response to changing demands (Yukl and Mahsud, 2010).

METHOD

This study uses a qualitative-descriptive approach because the researcher describes the data found in the field. Then, all data are systematically narrated to link one fact or event with another. Descriptive means that this study describes and analyzes data naturally and objectively according to qualitative research procedures. Based on this approach, this study is expected to provide an overview of the characteristics of moderate Muslim students and university leadership strategies for realizing moderate Muslim students.

Data collection was carried out through in-depth interviews to obtain the participants' perspectives and experiences, where interviews are an appropriate data collection method to obtain data relating to the perspective (Creswell, 2007). The interview type was a semi-structured interview with 20 informants who were purposefully selected. This purposive sampling was chosen because the informants were not determined based on their quantity but on the quality of their information, knowledge, or experience. Therefore, the researcher chooses individuals who could provide insight, knowledge, or experience to answer two research foci, namely, the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at UIN Mataram and UIN Mataram's leaders' strategy to develop moderate Muslim students. For the first focus, the interviews were directed mainly to lecturers who are concerned with the religious moderation development at UIN Mataram, and for the second question, the interviews were directed to students, lecturers, and elements of leadership at UIN Mataram such as the rector, vice rector, dean, vice dean, head and secretary of department. All interview results were transcribed for analysis, and the average interview time was 30 minutes. Interview results are presented with a combination of direct and indirect quotations.

This study employs reduction, presentation, and verification techniques to analyze the data. First, the data are chosen for their relevance to the research topic. Second, all of the selected data are organized, summarized, compared, and contrasted with previous studies and theories. The researchers also interpret the data by looking at them as a whole and forming a general meaning based on the researcher's point of view, theory, or previous research. This is consistent with Creswell (Creswell, 2007), who asserts the significance of personal perspectives and previous research when interpreting data. The final step is to conclude the empirical evidence.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings and discussions were based on data collected in the field and divided into two sub-themes: moderate Muslim student characteristics and UIN Mataram leaders' strategies for developing moderate Muslim students.

Characteristics of moderate Muslim students

UIN Mataram has developed six characteristics of moderate Muslim students, as explained below.

6. Moderate response to local culture

The first characteristic developed by UIN Mataram is a moderate reaction to local culture. This is relevant to previous studies asserting that respect for local culture is used to indicate religious moderation (Nasir and Rijal, 2021). It means that moderate Muslim students are characterized by their acceptance of Muslim groups that insert local culture into implementing Islamic teachings (Zarkasyi, 2019). For example, an informant from UIN Mataram stated that:

“Respect for local culture taught to students is a manifestation of Islam as a universal religion, where its universality can be actualized through responding to local cultures where Islam exists. So, religious moderation teaches students to avoid forcing Islam, as applied in an Arabic country or by the Prophet Muhammad fourteen centuries ago, to be implemented precisely in Indonesia” (Ridwan, lecturer, Interview, 23 August, 2021).

He also quoted a well-known expression of the first Indonesian president (Soekarno) as follows:

“If you become a Hindu, don't become an Indian, if you become a Muslim, don't be an Arabian, and if you become a Christian, don't become a Jew. Be an Indonesian Muslim with Indonesian culture” (Ridwan, lecturer, interview, August 23, 2021).

Respect for local culture is taught and aimed at eliminating the religious egoism that often arises when Islam is considered the most authentic indigenous religion to live in Indonesia. In fact, Islam is a foreign religion as well. An example of a direct quote regarding this point is as follows:

“Islam is not an indigenous religion in Indonesia; it came from the Arab world like other religions, such as Hinduism (from India) or Christianity (from Europe). So, there is no superiority between them” (Junaedi, Lecturer, Interview, July 23, 2021).

7. Moderate response to different school of thought (Madhab)

Religious moderation among students is also marked by students' internal tolerance towards different schools of thought. The following are some examples of interview quotes that indicate this characteristic.

“We also teach religious moderation through internal tolerance, namely students' tolerance toward other students with different schools of thought to avoid excessive fanaticism and non-moderate attitudes such as "my school of thought is the best and the other one is wrong" (Fathur, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

Specifically for different schools of thought, the best way to teach respect is through a culture of *mura'at* (full respect), which means that a student with a certain school of thought may follow other students' schools of thought to respect each other (Fathur, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2020). However, this *mura'at* culture can be actualized through correcting students' erroneous understandings in conjunction with *talfiq* (a prohibition to mix different schools of thought), which has long been thriving and flourishing among Muslim communities, including UIN Mataram students. For example, a senior lecturer stated:

“*Mura'at* culture is an Islamic teaching that has long been lost in Indonesia's society and needs to be revived to build students' moderate attitudes. This is caused by a mistake in understanding *talfiq*. The prohibited *talfiq* is a *talfiq* to seek the easiest side of various schools of thought or to escape from religious responsibility. Meanwhile, a *talfiq* taken to show respect for each other is not forbidden” (Miftah, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

The quote above shows that respect for different schools of thought is part of internal religious respect (Helmy et al., 2021) to balance internal with external tolerance among students. Since external tolerance (tolerance for other religions) is currently more prevalent in Indonesia than internal tolerance. This is caused by tolerance without liberalism or communal tolerance based on one's faith (Menchik, 2016). An exception to respecting this school of thought is the textual school (*mazhab zhahiri*), which tends to understand religion textually and is contrary to religious moderation.

8. Respect for internal minorities

In addition to respect for different schools of thought, moderate Muslim students are also characterized by respect for minority groups, especially Ahmadiyya and Shi'a, as shown by one of the following direct quotes:

“Muslim students are taught to demonstrate religious moderation towards minority groups (especially Ahmadiyya and Shi'a) since these two minority groups are prone to various acts of discrimination. The reason for this is that Ahmadiyya were considered blasphemers, and Shi'a were also considered a deviant group. These two groups are often asked to choose between leaving Islamic teachings by forming a new religion or returning to Islamic

teachings by abandoning their beliefs. In this context, Muslim students are expected to be agents of change by showing respect for minority groups“(Andra, Lecturer, Interview, July 23, 2021).

There is a unique thing about the way UIN Mataram teaches students religious moderation toward minority groups. The majority of UIN Mataram lecturers stated that passive moderation was the best way to respond to these minority groups, as asserted by an informant as follows:

...”Care must be taken when educating students about a moderate attitude toward Shi’a and Ahmadiyya. Passive moderation, as opposed to active moderation, is what UIN Mataram instructs students to practice in this situation, which means that students are taught to respect minority groups' right to practice different religious or cultural practices without actively participating in those groups' daily lives” (Fuad, lecturer, Interview, 2 August, 2021).

The quote above shows that religious moderation taught by UIN Mataram lecturers to students is conditional and proportional moderation (especially for Ahmadiyya and Shi’a). Conditional and proportional moderation was chosen as a solution in the midst of the endless debate among Indonesian Muslims in general and UIN Mataram lecturers in particular regarding the position of Ahmadiyya and Shi’a in the eyes of the Muslim majority, whether both are part of Muslims (as internal minorities) or out of Muslims (as religious blasphemy). This endless debate is also found in the dialogue between Zarkasy and Rabasa in a symposium held in Tokyo, Japan. In the symposium, Rabasa asked Zarkasy about the violence experienced by Ahmadiyya in Indonesia. Zarkasy replied that it was part of blasphemy, while Rabasa argued that it was not blasphemy but part of freedom of expression. The same thing is true of the Shi’a; they are often considered a deviant group because they are different from the Sunni group (the majority group in Indonesia). This shows that different indicators of religious moderation are triggers in determining whether an action is freedom of expression or religious blasphemy (AÇIKGENÇ et al., 2021).

Respect for minorities as part of moderate Muslim students' characteristics developed at UIN Mataram shows a similarity between the characteristics of religious moderation put forward by the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia (Zarkasyi, 2019) and the characteristics put forward by Western scholars and researchers (Cherney and Murphy, 2016; Rabasa et al., 2007). The difference is in the actualization. For example, in the context of Western scholars and researchers, respect for minorities was applied without exception, whereas in the context of the Ministry of Religious Affairs, including UIN Mataram, respect for minorities was applied in a conditional-proportional manner. The similarity of moderate Muslim characteristics among them is found in a number of ways, namely, anti-violence, opposition to terrorism, and promoting tolerance.

9. Respect for the external minority (non-Muslim Indonesians)

Respect for non-Muslims is the next moderate attitude to instill in moderate Muslim students. The word "non-Muslim" is used to replace the term "infidel" to avoid theological violence (Suprpto, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021). Based on interviews, there are no problems related to religious moderation between Muslim and non-Muslim students because they are used to living side by side in everyday life both inside and outside the UIN Mataram campus. For example, they study together because UIN Mataram also accepts non-Muslim students (Malik, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021), and they also live together as neighbors because Lombok is a miniature of religious pluralism in Indonesia. This reinforces what Menchik (2016) stated: there are no problems with interreligious tolerance in Indonesia because the problem that often arises is intra-religious tolerance.

10. Nationalism, anti-violence, and tolerance

Moderateness in responding to non-Muslims above is closely related to national commitment, non-violence, and tolerance. This is caused by the reality of Indonesia's multi-religiosity and Indonesia's past history, which has been built by a number of religions. National commitment is used to indicate moderate students who prefer not to consider other forms of government, such as the caliphate system. Indonesia officially recognizes six religions, with Islam as the majority, but Indonesia is neither a religious nor an Islamic state. Indonesia is a democratic country based on Pancasila (the five pillars) and Undang-Undang Dasar 1945 (the 1945 Constitution) with the slogan Bhineka Tunggal Ika (unity in diversity) (Wahyudi, lecturer, interview, July 23, 2021).

Although UIN Mataram has been successful in developing moderate Muslim students, there are a number of other characteristics that need to be added, such as: (1) moderation in responding to different student organizations because UIN Mataram students have various student organizations such as the Islamic Student Association (*Himpunan Mahasiswa Islam/HMI*), Indonesian Islamic Student Movement (*Pergerakan Mahasiswa Islam Indonesia/PMII*), and Indonesian Islamic Preaching Institute (*Lembaga Dakwah Islam Indonesia/LDMI*); (2) moderation in responding to different religious organizations since UIN Mataram students join different religious organizations, such as Nahdlatul Wathan (NW), Nahdhatul Ulama (NU), and Muhammadiyah; (3) moderation in responding to different political parties due to the different political choices among students; (4) Moderation in responding to other countries, both Muslim and non-Muslim countries; and (5) Moderation in responding to gender and human rights, especially LGBT, because this issue is still considered taboo. All of these characteristics were based on moderation in order to avoid new radicalism in the name of moderation (Hamdi, lecture, interview, July 4, 2022). Therefore, an ideal portrait of the moderate Muslim student is shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Twelve ideal characteristics of moderate Muslim students

Characteristic	Description
Respect for local culture	Respect to other Muslim groups that integrate Islamic teaching with local culture
Respect for various <i>madhabs</i>	Respect for different <i>madhabs</i> such as Syafi'i, Maliki, Hanbali, and Hanafi
Respect for different student religious organizations	NU, NW, and Muhammadiyah are three different student religious organizations.
Respect for different student organizations	Respect is shown to the following student organizations on campus: PMII, HMI, and LDMI, as long as those organizations do not teach radicalism.
Respect for different political choices	Respect for different political choices followed by university students off campus
Respect to the internal minority	Respectfully to Ahmadiyya and Shi'a, conditionally and proportionally
Respect to external minorities	Hinduism, Catholic, Protestant, Buddhism and Confucius
National commitment and anti-violence	Accept <i>Pancasila</i> and UUD 1945, but oppose all radical ideologies.
Respect for Muslim and non-Muslim countries	Respect for all nations as a manifestation of global citizenship

Characteristic	Description
Respect for Gender and Human Rights	Responding to the actual issue regarding gender and human rights moderately
Moderate in moderation	Performing religious moderation in a moderate way to avoid radicalism in the name of moderation
Tolerance	Acceptance of difference is the ultimate goal of religious moderation.

Based on the ideal moderate Muslim characteristics outlined above, the moderate Muslim characteristics declared by the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs must be reinterpreted or redesigned in light of several characteristics identified by Western scholars and researchers. When studying religious moderation at UIN Mataram, for example, the way to respond to sexual orientations such as lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) is not discussed. A student stated that talking about LGBT issues is still taboo on our campus (Febbi, Students, Interview, August 23, 2021). That is why the term "LGBT" should also be included in discussion relates to moderate Muslim to meet the ideal characteristic of moderate Muslim characteristic.

Leaders' strategies for developing moderate Muslim Students at UIN Mataram

This study synthesizes the strategies of UIN Mataram leaders in developing moderate Muslim students at UIN Mataram.

6. Identifying moderation and anti-moderation values

The first strategy implemented by UIN Mataram leaders was identifying the values of moderation. For a leader, identifying values aims to determine guiding principles in subsequent actions or decisions (Fitriani, 2017; Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Northouse, 2007). After identifying moderation values, UIN Mataram's leaders communicate all of those identified values to all campus community members (especially lecturers and students). This demonstrates that in order to determine moderation values, leaders must also demonstrate a moderate attitude by involving others. Involving other people aims to create "shared values" that can be actualized (Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Northouse, 2007). Based on the interviews, the essence of moderation values shared at UIN Mataram can be seen in Table II below.

Table II: Moderation values as shared values at UIN Mataram

Moderation Values	Definitions
<i>Tawazun</i> <i>Tawassuth</i>	<i>and</i> Balance (<i>tawazun</i>) and the middle position (<i>tawassuth</i>) indicate a middle position between radicalism and liberalism.
<i>Ta'aruf</i>	willingness to recognize diversity
<i>Tafahum</i>	Mutual understanding to promote positive thinking (<i>husnu al-dhanni</i>) and avoid negative thinking (<i>su'u al-dhanni</i>)
<i>Ta'amul wa al-taawun</i>	The desire to interact and help each other
<i>Tabayyun wa tala'um</i>	To confirm any news received to avoid regrets due to misunderstandings.
<i>Tashaduq</i>	Tashaduq means friendship (<i>al-shadaqah</i>) based on honesty (<i>al-shidqu</i>) or mutual trust.

Moderation Values	Definitions
<i>Mushabarah</i>	Patience
<i>Murabathah</i>	Strengthening each other to realize mutual benefit
<i>Tawashau bi al-haqq</i>	Reminding each other to demonstrate positive things
<i>Tanahi 'anil munkar</i>	Reminding each other to avoid negative things
<i>Taraadhin</i>	Accepting of difference as God's design
<i>La 'unf</i>	Anti-violence
<i>Musawah</i>	Avoiding discrimination against other groups or religions
<i>Tasamuh</i>	Belief in the mutual benefit of tolerance

It is important to note that identifying moderation values above is insufficient since it is also necessary to identify anti-moderation values. The first is identified to be applied, and the second is identified to be avoided (Masnun, Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021). When asked about anti-moderation values, the Rector of UIN Mataram stated that they include: (1) *tatharruf*, namely showing extreme attitudes; (2) *tasyaddud*, namely regarding one's own thoughts as an absolute thing; (3) *tadhaddun*, namely performing radical and fundamental attitudes by considering other groups as heretical; and (4) *takfir*, namely regarding everyone whose different religious beliefs are unbelief (Masnun, Rector, Interview, 3 July 2021). Another informant added that liberalism is part of anti-moderation (Sarapudin, lecturer, interview, July 4, 2022). This last point shows the different characteristics of religious moderation between Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs and some Western scholars and researchers. This difference has an impact on the way people express religious freedom.

7. Translating values into vision, mission and goal

The first step above is followed up with visioning, where visioning is not only limited to describing the future since it consists of missions, goals, and objectives to achieve, including the strategies used and people involved to achieve them (Ford et al., 2021). Therefore, UIN Mataram vision always relates to mission, goals, and strategy (VMGS). Vision is an ideal future description to achieve (Kouzes and Posner, 2009; Kraemer, 2011). In this context, the leader's task is to determine a shared vision. That is why the UIN Mataram leaders included the religious moderation term in the UIN Mataram mission. This is in accordance with the statement of an informant from UIN Mataram as follows:

“Religious moderation (moderate vision) aims to avoid any form of extremism. The university's vision is followed up at the faculty level, and the faculty's vision is continued at the department level. So, UIN Mataram makes religious moderation its main mission according to the directions of Indonesia's Ministry of Religious Affairs“ (Masnun, Rector, Interview, July 2, 2021).

The vision is further elaborated in UIN Mataram's mission through the provision of inclusive education. For example, UIN Mataram does not only accept Muslim students but also non-Muslims. It's been proven by many Hindu and Christian students at UIN Mataram. A Hindu student, I Ketut Mayoni, expressed her pride because she was treated well by the majority of Muslim students in her daily life at UIN Mataram (UIN Mataram, 2022). UIN Mataram's mission is then broken down into three common goals, namely: being a good Muslim internally (intra-religious tolerance); being a good Muslim in a national context (national commitment); and being a good global citizen (international commitment). This goal is quite ideal; according to Kouzes & Posner (2011), leaders

are dreamers and idealists. To realize the vision, mission, and goals, any leader cannot be alone; therefore, the leader's job is to turn the institutional vision, mission, and goals into a shared vision, mission, and goals (Fitriani, 2017).

8. Deciding program or supporting policy

The vision, mission, and goals above are followed by leaders' policies in institutional programs. This means that to develop moderate Muslim students at UIN Mataram, leaders must make new policies, especially those related to input (students), processes, and objectives. For example, in terms of input, all Indonesian citizens may become students at UIN Mataram regardless of their religious background. The Rector of UIN Mataram said that initially the policy was rejected by some lecturers with the question, "Why are Hindus and Christians allowed to enter Islamic campuses like UIN Mataram? Don't Hindus have high schools of Hinduism and Christians have schools of theology? The Rector answered simply; even though UIN Mataram is an Islamic campus, this campus is open to all religions or even open to all citizens from all over the world (Masnun, Rector, Interview, 3 July 2021). This is in accordance with UIN Mataram's mission to create a world-class university in the future (Adi Fadli, Vice Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021).

Furthermore, the institutional programs that support student religious moderation at UIN Mataram are: (1) the House of Moderation, which serves as a center for developing religious moderation for students; (2) community services (*bakti sosial*) based on religious moderation for UIN Mataram students in 2022, such as community service through cleaning temples, churches, and monasteries in Mataram City; (3) UIN Mataram students are also actively involved in protecting *Nyepi* (a Hindu holy day), as Hindus are frequently involved in *takbiran* (parades to welcome the Muslim holy day after fasting Ramadan); and (4) Muslim students often collaborate with Christians to distribute *ta'jil* (fast food for breaking the fast) for Muslims during the month of Ramadhan.

According to the Vice Rector of UIN Mataram, one of the best ways to build religious moderation among students is social action, and the best manifestation of social action is celebrating diversity with other different groups or religions (Zaki, Vice Rector, Interview, July 3, 2021). Based on observations, this statement is pertinent to the Annual Conference on Islamic Studies (AICIS) at UIN Mataram on October 21–22, 2022, to which all non-Muslim students were invited by the UIN Mataram leader, and they took part in the AICIS hosted by UIN Mataram (Saparudin, Vice Dean, Interview, October 3, 2021).

9. Collaborating with other

All UIN Mataram leaders realize that developing students' religious moderation requires serious cooperation with other elements. Based on observations and documentation, there are a number of elements involved, namely: *first*, involving the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs by inviting them to provide insight into and practice religious moderation among university students and lecturers (Alki, 2022). Lecturers are involved because they have direct contact with students; second, the National Agency for Combating Terrorism (NACT) is involved to instill a sense of nationalism and avoid radicalism; third, the leaders of Islamic boarding schools are involved because the majority of UIN Mataram's students are alumni of Islamic boarding schools; fourth, non-Muslim figures (especially Hindus, Buddhists, and Christians) are involved to discuss ways of building interreligious tolerance; and *fifth*, involving the House of Representatives Council of the Republic of Indonesia (DPR RI, 2021).

10. Modeling religious moderation values

Exemplifying moderation values in leadership is another important strategy for developing moderate Muslim students. In this context, there are four unique ways used by UIN Mataram leaders, namely:

First, leading by example indicates that leaders must set a good example for others by practicing moderate values first. Value is an invisible thing that needs to be actualized in real behaviour (Banks and Banks, 2010). Transforming value into real action is part of "setting the example" or "leading by example" (Kouzes and Posner, 2009). Leading by example is an effective way to promote religious moderation among university students because a leader is a source of reference or a symbol of self-identification for all members (Fitriani, 2016). In this case, the Rector of UIN Mataram stated that "*ibda' binafsika*" (starting from yourself) is an effective way to form moderate Muslim students (Jumarim, Dean, Interview, June 2, 2021).

Second, leading by proportional values which means that moderation also requires proportional consideration because tolerance is not recommended in all matters, especially those related to other religious rituals. (Fadli, Vice Rector, Interview, July 2, 2021).

Third, *leading with* reciprocal values which mean that, in developing moderate Muslim students, a leader is required to teach hadith that teach reciprocal values. In this context, an informant stated that reciprocal values are based on the prophetic tradition (hadith), which states that if you love those on earth, then the One in the sky (heaven) will love you. This also implies that if you want to be respected, you should respect others, and if you don't want to be disturbed, you should not disturb others. This reciprocity shows a relationship between what we and other people do (Asyari, Vice Dean, Interview, July 3, 2021).

Then, when a leader uses religious moderation values as the basis of his or her leadership, he or she refers to moderation values-based educational leadership. Leaders need to identify moderate values and translate them into their leadership. However, a lack of strategies implemented by UIN Mataram leaders prevents them from monitoring and evaluating religious moderation periodically. This study discovered effective strategies for developing moderate Muslim students.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the characteristics of moderate Muslim students developed at UIN Mataram refer to the characteristics of religious moderation declared by the Ministry of Religious Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia, which posits religious moderation as a middle position between radicalism and liberalism. To develop ideal moderate Muslim students, some liberalism values must be used selectively and proportionally to indicate religious moderation, including moderate Muslim students. This study also concludes that moderate Muslim student development necessitates a leadership style known as moderate values-based exemplary leadership. This leadership model is quite important for building a moderate culture in higher education as a provision for harmonious life in a multi-religious, multi-cultural society.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the support of all parties, especially the Director of the Postgraduate Program at Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram and *Forum Diskusi Dosen Pascasarjana* (FDDP) UIN Mataram, for their comments and suggestions regarding this article. I also would like to thank Masnun and Fahrurrozi for their fruitful discussion as well as their support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

47. Ab Rashid R, Fazal SA, Ab. Halim Z, et al. (2020) Conceptualizing the characteristics of moderate Muslims: a systematic review. *Social Identities* 26(6). Routledge: 829–841. DOI: 10.1080/13504630.2020.1814720.
48. AÇIKGENÇ A, Daud WMNW, Suharto U, et al. (2021) *Hamid Fahmy Zarkasyi: Di Mata Guru, Sahabat, dan Murid*. Unida Gontor Press.
49. Akmaliah W (2020) The demise of moderate Islam: new media, contestation, and reclaiming religious authorities. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 10(1). 1: 1–24. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v10i1.1-24.
50. Alki M (2022) FTK UIN Mataram Hadirkan Ahli Moderasi Beragama UIN Sunan Ampel, Rektor: Kita Harus Hadang Faham Radikalisme - Warta Lombok. Available at: <https://wartalombok.pikiran-rakyat.com/pendidikan/pr-1073799952/ftk-uin-mataram-hadirkan-ahli-moderasi-beragama-uin-sunan-ampel-rektor-kita-harus-hadang-faham-radikalisme> (accessed 14 August 2022).
51. Amal MK (2021) The Role of Islamic Religious Higher Education in the Revitalization of Religious Moderation in Indonesia. *Dialogia: Islamic Studies and Social Journal* 19(2). 2: 293–325. DOI: 10.21154/dialogia.v19i2.3327.
52. Anwar RN and Muhayati S (2021) UPAYA MEMBANGUN SIKAP MODERASI BERAGAMA MELALUI PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM PADA MAHASISWA PERGURUAN TINGGI UMUM. *Al-Tadzkiyyah: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam* 12(1). 1: 1–15. DOI: 10.24042/atjpi.v12i1.7717.
53. Arifinsyah A, Andy S and Damanik A (2020) The Urgency of Religious Moderation in Preventing Radicalism in Indonesia. *ESENSIA: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Ushuluddin* 21(1). 1: 91–108. DOI: 10.14421/esensia.v21i1.2199.
54. Banks JA and Banks CAM (2010) *Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
55. Cherney A and Murphy K (2016) What does it mean to be a moderate Muslim in the war on terror? Muslim interpretations and reactions. *Critical Studies on Terrorism* 9(2). Routledge: 159–181. DOI: 10.1080/17539153.2015.1120105.
56. Colbran N (2010) Realities and challenges in realising freedom of religion or belief in Indonesia. *The International Journal of Human Rights* 14(5). Routledge: 678–704. DOI: 10.1080/13642980903155166.
57. Copeland MK (2014) The Emerging Significance of Values Based Leadership: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Leadership Studies* 8(2): 105–135. Available at: https://fisherpub.sjfc.edu/business_facpub/5.
58. Creswell JW (2007) *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. SAGE.
59. Davids N (2017) Islam, Moderation, Radicalism, and Justly Balanced Communities. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 37(3). Routledge: 309–320. DOI: 10.1080/13602004.2017.1384672.

60. Della Corte V, Del Gaudio G, Sepe F, et al. (2017) The Role and Implications of Values-based Leadership. *Journal of Organisational Transformation & Social Change* 14(3). Routledge: 187–213. DOI: 10.1080/14779633.2017.1378453.
61. DPR RI SD (2021) Yandri Susanto Harap UIN Mataram jadi Garda Terdepan Moderasi Beragama. Available at: <http://www.dpr.go.id/berita/detail/id/36572> (accessed 14 August 2022).
62. Fahri M and Zainuri A (2019) Moderasi Beragama di Indonesia. *Intizar* 25(2). 2: 95–100. DOI: 10.19109/intizar.v25i2.5640.
63. Fitriani MI (2016) Kepemimpinan Kharismatis-Transformatif Tuan Guru Dalam Perubahan Sosial Masyarakat Sasak-Lombok Melalui Pendidikan. *Al-Tahrir: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 16(1). 1: 175–195. DOI: 10.21154/al-tahrir.v16i1.332.
64. Fitriani MI (2017) Systemic and Systematic Steps of Leadership on Transforming Symbolic into Substantive Characteristic of Madrasah. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam* 3(1). 1: 1–16. DOI: 10.15575/jpi.v3i1.1203.
65. Fitriani MI and Naamy N (2019) *Moderasi Beragama Dalam Perspektif NU, NW Dan Salafi*. Lombok Press.
66. Ford J, Ford L and Polin B (2021) Leadership in the Implementation of Change: Functions, Sources, and Requisite Variety. *Journal of Change Management* 21(1). Routledge: 87–119. DOI: 10.1080/14697017.2021.1861697.
67. Fuadi MA, Hasyim F, Kholis MN, et al. (2021) Strengthening Religious Moderation to Counter Radicalism at IAIN Surakarta. *Al-Tahrir: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 21(2). 2: 261–284. DOI: 10.21154/altahrir.v21i2.3102.
68. Hefni W (2020) Moderasi Beragama dalam Ruang Digital: Studi Pengarusutamaan Moderasi Beragama di Perguruan Tinggi Keagamaan Islam Negeri. *Jurnal Bimas Islam* 13(1). 1: 1–22. DOI: 10.37302/jbi.v13i1.182.
69. Helmy MI, Kubro ADJ and Ali M (2021) The understanding of Islamic Moderation (wasatiyyah al-Islam) and the hadiths on inter-religious relations in the Javanese pesantrens. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11(2). 2: 377–401. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.351-376.
70. Islam T and Khatun A (2016) “Islamic Moderation” in Perspectives: A Comparison Between Oriental And Occidental Scholarships. *International Journal of Nusantara Islam* 3: 69. DOI: 10.15575/ijni.v3i2.488.
71. Jamali A, Bhutto A, Khaskheli M, et al. (2022) Impact of leadership styles on faculty performance: Moderating role of organizational culture in higher education. *Management Science Letters* 12(1): 1–20. Available at: <http://m.growingscience.com/beta/msl/5067-impact-of-leadership-styles-on-faculty-performance-moderating-role-of-organizational-culture-in-higher-education.html> (accessed 6 August 2022).
72. Kemenag RI KA (2021) Moderasi Beragama. *Pendis Press*. Available at: <https://pendispress.kemenag.go.id/index.php/ppress/catalog/view/3/1/9-1> (accessed 14 August 2022).

73. Kouzes JM and Posner BZ (2009) The Five Practices of Exemplary Leadership. In: Billsberry J (ed.) *Discovering Leadership*. London: Macmillan Education UK, pp. 47–62. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-137-24203-7_5.
74. Kouzes JM and Posner BZ (2011) *The Five Practices of Exemplary Leadership*. John Wiley & Sons.
75. Kraemer HM (2011) From Values to Action: The Four Principles of Values-Based Leadership by Harry M. Kraemer - Leadership @ LeadershipNow.com. Available at: <https://www.leadershipnow.com/leadershop/9780470881255.html> (accessed 28 June 2022).
76. Menchik J (2016) *Islam and Democracy in Indonesia: Tolerance without Liberalism*. Cambridge University Press.
77. Mietzner M and Muhtadi B (2018) Explaining the 2016 Islamist Mobilisation in Indonesia: Religious Intolerance, Militant Groups and the Politics of Accommodation. *Asian Studies Review* 42(3). Routledge: 479–497. DOI: 10.1080/10357823.2018.1473335.
78. Narulita S, Hyangsewu P and Diens A (2022) Moderate Muslim Characters in The Quran and Its Implementation in Islamic Religious Education Learning in Public Universities. *Jurnal Studi Al-Qur'an* 18(1). 1: 75–90. DOI: 10.21009/JSQ.018.1.04.
79. Nasir M and Rijal MK (2021) Keeping the middle path: mainstreaming religious moderation through Islamic higher education institutions in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11(2). 2: 213–241. DOI: 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.213-241.
80. Northouse PG (2007) *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. SAGE.
81. Pedersen L (2016) Religious Pluralism in Indonesia. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology* 17(5). Routledge: 387–398. DOI: 10.1080/14442213.2016.1218534.
82. Pektas S (2021) A comparative analysis of three Sunni Muslim organizations on ‘moderate’ and ‘radical’ Islam in Egypt, Morocco and Indonesia. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 190–213. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2020.1868383.
83. Purwanto A and Asbari M (2020) Model Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Authentic, Authoritarian, Transformational, Transactional Berpengaruh Terhadap Kinerja : Studi Pada Kinerja Dosen Perguruan Tinggi di Jawa Tengah. *EduPsyCouns: Journal of Education, Psychology and Counseling* 2(1). 1: 227–245. Available at: <https://ummaspul.ejournal.id/Edupsyscouns/article/view/441> (accessed 6 August 2022).
84. Putra AS, Waruwu H, Asbari M, et al. (2020) Leadership in the Innovation Era: Transactional or Transformational Style? *International Journal of Social and Management Studies* 1(1). 1: 89–94. DOI: 10.5555/ijosmas.v1i1.10.
85. Rabasa A, Benard C, Schwartz LH, et al. (2007) *Building Moderate Muslim Networks*. Rand Corporation.
86. Schmidt L (2021) Aesthetics of authority: ‘Islam Nusantara’ and Islamic ‘radicalism’ in Indonesian film and social media. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 237–258. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2020.1868387.

87. Sirry M (2020) Muslim Student Radicalism and Self-Deradicalization in Indonesia. *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations* 31(2). Routledge: 241–260. DOI: 10.1080/09596410.2020.1770665.
88. Smeer ZB and Rosyidah I (2021) RELIGIOUS MODERATION IN ISLAMIC EDUCATION LEARNING TO COUNTER RADICALISM: Study at SMAN 1 Sugihwaras Bojonegoro. *ULUL ALBAB Jurnal Studi Islam* 22(1). 1: 176–202. DOI: 10.18860/ua.v22i1.11837.
89. ter Laan N (2021) Musical negotiations of a ‘moderate’ versus a ‘radical’ Islam in Morocco: dissonance and the sonic among vocal performers of Islam-inspired music. *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 214–236. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2021.1865602.
90. van Es MA (2021) Norwegian Muslims denouncing terrorism: beyond ‘moderate’ versus ‘radical’? *Religion* 51(2). Routledge: 169–189. DOI: 10.1080/0048721X.2021.1865600.
91. Yukl G and Mahsud R (2010) Why flexible and adaptive leadership is essential. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research* 62(2). US: Educational Publishing Foundation: 81–93. DOI: 10.1037/a0019835.
92. Zarkasyi HF (2019) Appraising the Moderation Indonesian Muslims with Special Reference to Muhammadiyah and Nahdlatul Ulama. *ADDIN* 12(1). 1: 1–30. DOI: 10.21043/addin.v12i1.4179.