

# KORESPONDENSI JURNAL MIGRATION LETTER OLEH: DR. BAHARUDIN

## 1. Scopus Index

The screenshot shows the Scopus search results for the journal 'Migration Letters'. The interface includes a search bar, filters, and a table of results. The table shows one result with a CiteScore of 2.0, 265 documents, and 54 citations from 2019 to 2022. The journal is ranked in the 2nd quartile.


Source title	CiteScore	Highest percentile	Citations 2019-22	Documents 2019-22	% Cited
1 Migration Letters	2.0	65% 47/135 Demography	540	265	54

Sumber: Screen Capture

Apabila dicek melalui halaman scopus, Jurnal Migration Letters memiliki Cite Score 2.0 dengan total 265 dokumen selama 2019 hingga 2022. Jika dilihat Quartilnya, Jurnal ini berada pada 2<sup>nd</sup> Quartile atau Q2.

### Migration Letters

<p><b>COUNTRY</b></p> <p>United Kingdom</p> <p>Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom</p> <p>Media Ranking in United Kingdom</p>	<p><b>SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY</b></p> <p>Social Sciences</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demography</li> <li>Geography, Planning and Development</li> </ul>	<p><b>PUBLISHER</b></p> <p>Migration Letters &amp; The London Publishers</p>	<p><b>H-INDEX</b></p> <p><b>18</b></p>
<p><b>PUBLICATION TYPE</b></p> <p>Journals</p>	<p><b>ISSN</b></p> <p>17418992, 17418984</p>	<p><b>COVERAGE</b></p> <p>2011-2022</p>	<p><b>INFORMATION</b></p> <p>Homepage</p> <p>How to publish in this journal</p> <p>editor.migrationletters@gmail.com</p>



Sumber: Screen Shoot

Hal serupa terlihat pada halaman Scimago.jr, Jurnal ini memiliki H-Index sebesar 18.

## 2. Halaman Jurnal

Sumber: Screen Shoot

Pada halaman jurnal Migration Letters, disebutkan bahwa jurnal ini merupakan jurnal dengan akses terbuka. Sehingga, artikel yang dipublikasi bebas diakses oleh para pembaca.

## 3. Submission Acknowledgement

Migration Letters <editor.migrationletters@gmail.com>  
to me

Baharudin

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "The Impact of Digital Media on Muslim Religious Communities: A Sociological Study of Online Religious Participation in Lombok" to Migration Letters. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://migrationletters.com/index.php/ml/about/submissions>

Username: baharudin

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Professor Dr.Kittisak Jermsittiparsert  
University of City Island  
Famagusta, Northern Cyprus

---

[Migration Letters](#)

#### 4. Editorial Message

Migration Letters <em@editorialmanager.com>  
to me

Dear Baharudin,

Your manuscript entitled "The Impact of Digital Media on Muslim Religious Communities: A Sociological Study of Online Religious Participation in Lombok", which you submitted to Migration Letters, has now been reviewed.

The reviews, included at the bottom of the letter, indicate that your manuscript could be suitable for publication following revision. We hope that you will consider these suggestions, and revise your manuscript.

To submit your revised manuscript please go to <https://migrationletters.com/index.php/ml/login> and log in. You will see an option to Revise alongside your submission record.

If you are unsure how to submit your revision, please contact us on email-  
[editor.migrationletters@gmail.com](mailto:editor.migrationletters@gmail.com)

Please ensure that you clearly highlight changes made to your manuscript, as well as submitting a thorough response to reviewers.

We look forward to receiving your revised article.

Best wishes,

Professor Dr.Kittisak Jermsittiparsert  
University of City Island  
Famagusta, Northern Cyprus

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Reviewer 1: Yes

Title, Abstract and Introduction – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1:

- 1) Begin the introduction with a more engaging and captivating hook to grab the reader's attention. A compelling anecdote, a thought-provoking question, or a relevant statistic can be used to make the introduction more captivating.
- 2) While providing context is important, some parts of the introduction could be more concise. For instance, you can condense the background information about Lombok's religious diversity and its characterization as a microcosm of Indonesia's religious diversity. Focus on the most pertinent details.

Methodology / Materials and Methods – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1:

- 1) Describe the extent of the content that will be analyzed. Will you be focusing on a specific time frame or a specific number of posts or discussions? Providing such details can give a clearer picture of the scope
- 2) While you mention thematic analysis, you might want to briefly explain the software or tools you plan to use for data coding and analysis. This can provide transparency and show that you've considered the technical aspects of analysis

Objective / Hypothesis – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: minor revision

Figures and Tables – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: moderate revisions

Results / Data Analysis – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1:

- 1) Consider using subheadings for each major finding or theme. This will make it easier for readers to navigate the results and find information on specific topics of interest.
- 2) When including participant quotes, provide more context or explanation of why these specific quotes were chosen. This can help readers understand their significance and how they relate to the findings.
- 3) Consider using visual aids such as charts or graphs to illustrate key findings, especially when presenting statistical data or trends

Interpretation / Discussion – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: If applicable, relate your findings to existing theories or frameworks in the field of technology and religion. This can help provide a theoretical context for your results.

Conclusions – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1:

- 1) Consider breaking down your conclusion into structured subsections that correspond to the major findings of your study. This can make the conclusion more organized and reader-friendly.
- 2) For each major finding, explicitly state the most important insights that emerged from your research. This will help readers quickly grasp the significance of your findings.

References – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: Sound with minor revisions

Compliance with Ethical Standards – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: Unsound

Writing – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: Sound with minor revisions

Supplemental Information and Data – overall evaluation

Reviewer 1: Sound with minor revisions

Comments to the author

Reviewer 1: The Result and Discussion Section need more supporting researchs

Reviewer 2: Yes

Title, Abstract and Introduction – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2:

- 1) The introduction should culminate in a clear and concise thesis statement. The thesis statement should succinctly state the main argument or purpose of the paper. In this case, it could be clearer about what insights the paper aims to provide regarding the impact of digital media on religious communities in Lombok.
- 2) While the introduction cites relevant sources, it could improve by briefly summarizing what prior research has found in this field. This can help set the stage for how the current study builds upon or differs from existing literature.

Methodology / Materials and Methods – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2:

- 1) Consider discussing the concept of triangulation, which involves using multiple methods to validate findings. Mention how the different methods will complement each other to enhance the validity of the study.
- 2) It would be beneficial to provide a clear rationale for the selection of participants. Why were these specific roles chosen, and what criteria were used to select participants? Explaining this can help readers understand the representativeness of the sample.

Objective / Hypothesis – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: Unsound

Figures and Tables – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: Sound with minor revisions

Results / Data Analysis – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2:

- 1) While detailed findings are valuable, ensure that the presentation remains concise and focused. Avoid repetition and stay on topic within each subsection.
- 2) It's essential to discuss any limitations in your study, such as potential biases in participant selection or data collection methods. This will provide transparency and help readers interpret the results more accurately.

Interpretation / Discussion – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: You may want to include a section on recommendations or implications based on your findings. What do these results mean for religious communities, policymakers, or future research in this area?

Conclusions – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2:

- 1) It would be valuable to include a section that discusses the practical recommendations and implications of your findings. What can religious leaders, policymakers, or the communities themselves take away from this study?
- 2) Discuss potential avenues for future research in this area. Are there unanswered questions or areas that need further exploration based on your findings?

References – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: fundamentally flawed

Compliance with Ethical Standards – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: Sound with minor revisions

Writing – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: fundamentally flawed

Supplemental Information and Data – overall evaluation

Reviewer 2: fundamentally flawed

Comments to the author

Reviewer 2:

- 1) Academic English shall be considered.
- 2) Proofread
- 3) All references should up-to-date, that is no longer than 5 years

Dear Baharudin,

we have now received two reports on your paper from competent reviewers. As you will see, they find some merit in your paper but also major flaws. With reports like these, we are on the verge between a rejection and an offer to revise and resubmit. I have decided to give a chance to the paper and offer you to submit a new, substantially revised version. Please be advised that we will need particularly clear and convincing improvements to the paper according to the reviewers' suggestions to be able to consider it for publication. If you decide to revise your paper accordingly, when you

resubmit please include a detailed note in which you describe point-by-point how you have addressed the reviewers' comments and suggestions.  
I look forward to receiving your revised version.

Professor Dr.Kittisak Jermsittiparsert  
University of City Island  
Famagusta, Northern Cyprus

---

[Migration Letters](#)

## 5. Acceptance Letter

Dear Baharudin,

I am delighted to inform you that your paper, titled " Productivity Dynamics in the Songket Weaving Industry: A Study of Female Weavers in Sukarara Village" has been accepted for publication in Migration Letters. Your contribution has undergone a rigorous peer review process, and we are impressed with the quality and significance of your research.

Here are some details regarding the publication of your paper:

1. Expected Publication Date: October 2023
2. Expected Volume and Issue: Vol. 20 No. 6
3. Proofreading and Editing: Our editorial team will work closely with you to ensure the final version of your paper is polished and conforms to our publication standards.
4. Publication Fees: 1400 Euros

We would like to express our appreciation for your valuable contribution to our journal. Your research is a significant addition to our field, and we are excited to share it with our readers.

Once again, congratulations on this achievement, and we look forward to working with you to bring your paper to our readership.

Thank you for choosing Migration Letters as the platform for your research.

Sincerely,

Professor Dr.Kittisak Jermsittiparsert  
University of City Island  
Famagusta, Northern Cyprus

---

[Migration Letters](#)

## Acceptance Letter

**Manuscript ID:** MGL- 492

**Title:** The Impact of Digital Media on Muslim Religious Communities: A Sociological Study of Online Religious Participation in Lombok

**Dear Author/s:** Baharudin<sup>1</sup>, Risky Angga Pramuja<sup>2</sup>, Ainal Mardhiah<sup>3</sup>

**Affiliation:** <sup>1</sup>State Islamic University of Mataram, Indonesia, <sup>2</sup>Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia, <sup>3</sup>UIN Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh, Indonesia

We are pleased to inform you that the above-mentioned manuscript has been reviewed and accepted for publication in the upcoming Regular issue of October (2023) of the **Migration Letters**, ISSN 17418992, 17418984. This letter of acceptance is to be considered as the official acceptance of your manuscript with no further amendments required. Author/s are requested to follow ethical and privacy guidelines mentioned in the journal homepage

Thank you for your contribution to the Journal.

Kind regards,



Dr. Kittisak Jemsittiparsert,  
(Dr. K. Jemsittiparsert)  
University of City Island, Famagusta,  
Northern Cyprus.

